Site: Apples Overall Confidence Rating: High

Background: A total of 641,000 acres are planted in apples in the United states. Organophosphate pesticides (OP) represent 68% of all pesticide usage on this crop with an average of 2.82 applications per year. Analysis of OP usage was conducted for the following five major apple regions: New England (CT, MA, ME, RI, NH, NJ, NY, VT), North Central (MI and OH), Appalachian-Southern (DE, GA, MD, NC, PA, SC, TN, VA, WV), Western (AZ and CA), and Pacific North. (OR and WA). Insecticide use patterns and key pests vary both between and within regions. In the absence of effective controls, key pests can destroy 50-90% of the crop. Due to low damage threshold levels in apples, biological control is limited to indirect pests (non-fruit feeding) with little contribution against direct pests.

Organophosphate	% Tr	eated	# Appl	ications	Rate (lb /	AI/A)	PHI (days)
Pesticides	Max ²³	Avg ²³	Max ²¹	Avg ²⁻¹¹	Max ²¹	Avg ²⁻¹¹	Min ²¹	Avg
azinphos-methyl	64.7	61.4	4	2.1	3.1	0.8	7	
chlorpyrifos	53	44	NS	1.6	4	1.4	30	
diazinon	6	3	NS	1.6	5	1.2	21	
dimethoate	14.9	7.4	NS	1.3	2.0	0.8	28	
malathion	15	10	NS	1.1	2.3	0.8	21	
methyl parathion	25	18	NS	1.0	2	2.0	21	
phosmet	34	22	NS	2.9	4	1.1	7	

Confidence Rating: H= high confidence = data from several confirming sources; confirmed by personal experience

M = medium confidence = data from only a few sources; may be some conflicting or unconfirmed info.

L = low confidence = data from only one unconfirmed source

Organophosphate Target Pests for Apple in New England Region (Primary pests controlled by the OP's) ^{6,9,17,18}											
Major	Bug (Tarnished Plant), Aphids (Rosy Apple, Apple, and Spirea), Apple Maggot, Plum Curculio										
Moderate	Leafroller (Obliquebanded and Redbanded))										
Minor	Fruitworm (Green and Sparganothis), Sawfly (European Apple), Leafhopper (White Apple and Potato), Scale (San Jose), Mite (European Red), Leafminer (Spotted Tentiform)										

Major = 20+% of all OP usage on pest; Moderate = 5-20% of all OP usage on pest; Minor =<5% of all OP usage on pest

Organophosph	Organophosphate Target Pests for Apple in North Central Region (Primary pests controlled by the OP's) ^{7, 10, 16}										
Major	Codling Moth, Apple Maggot										
Moderate	Aphid (Green Apple and Rosy Apple), Fruitworm (Green), Leafroller (Fruit Tree, Red Banded, Oblique Banded, and Variegated), Scale (San Jose), Plum Curculio,										
Minor	Mites (European Red, Rust, and Two Spotted Spider), Fruit/Bud Moth (Oriental Fruit, Tufted Apple Bud, and Eye-Spotted Bud), Leafminer (), Bug (Tarnished Plant and Stink), Leafhopper (White Apple and Potato), Borer (Dogwood)										

Major = 20+% of all OP usage on pest; Moderate = 5-20% of all OP usage on pest; Minor =<5% of all OP usage on pest

Organophospha	Organophosphate Target Pests for Apple in Appalachian-Southern Region (Primary pests controlled by the OP's) ^{3,4,14,15}										
Major	Aphid (Rosy Apple, Apple, Spirea and Apple Grain), Codling Moth										
Moderate	Leafroller (Red Banded and Oblique Banded), Scale (San Jose), Mites (European Red, Twospotted Spider, and Apple Rust), Bug (Tarnished Plant and Mullein Plant), Leafhopper (White Apple, Rose, and Potato)										
Minor	Fruit/Bud Moth (Tufted Apple Bud and Oriental Fruit), Leafminer (Spotted Tentiform), Plum Curculio, Apple Maggot, Fruitworm (Green)										

Major = 20+% of all OP usage on pest; Moderate = 5-20% of all OP usage on pest; Minor =<5% of all OP usage on pest

Organophospha	Organophosphate Target Pests for Apple in Western Region (Primary pests controlled by the OP's) ^{8, 19, 20}										
Major	Aphid (Rosy Apple, Green Apple, and Green Peach), Codling Moth										
Moderate	Scale (San Jose, Italian Pear, and Grape Mealybug)										
Minor	Mites (European Red, Apple Rust, Pacific Spider, and McDaniel Spider), Borer (Pacific Flatheaded)										

Major = 20+% of all OP usage on pest; Moderate = 5-20% of all OP usage on pest; Minor =<5% of all OP usage on pest

Organophosph	Organophosphate Target Pests for Apple in Pacific North Region (Primary pests controlled by the OP's) ^{2, 11, 12, 13}										
Major	Leafrollers (Pandemis, Oblique Banded, Fruittree, and European), Codling Moth										
Moderate	Scale (San Jose and Oystershell), Fruitworm (Green, Speckled Green, and Pyamidal), Apple Maggot, Aphid (Green Apple, Rosy Apple, and Apple Grain), Mites (European Red, Apple Rust, Twospotted Spider, and McDaniel Spider)										
Minor											

Major = 20+% of all OP usage on pest; Moderate = 5-20% of all OP usage on pest; Minor =<5% of all OP usage on pest

Sources:

- 1. Proprietary EPA market share information.
- 2. U.S. Apple QUA+ Washington. 1997.
- 3. U.S. Apple QUA+ Virginia, West Virginia. 1997.
- 4. U.S. Apple QUA+ Georgia, North Carolina, South Carolina and Tennessee. 1997.
- 5. U.S. Apple QUA+ Pennsylvania. 1997.
- 6. U.S. Apple QUA+ New England. 1997.
- 7. U.S. Apple QUA+ Michigan. 1997.
- 8. U.S. Apple QUA+ California. 1997.
- 9. QUA+ New England Fruit Consultants.
- 10. QUA+ Michigan Apple Commission. 1997
- 11. QUA+ Northwest Horticultural Council. 1997.
- 12. Orchard Pest Management; A Resource Book for the Pacific Northwest.1993. Good Fruit Grower, Yakima, WA.
- 13. Pacific Northwest 1998 Insect Control Handbook. 1998. Oregon State University.
- 14. 1997 Spray Bulletin for Commercial Tree Fruit Growers. Virginia, West Virginia and Maryland Cooperative Extension.
- 15. Pennsylvania Tree Fruit Production Guide. 1996-1997. College of Agricultural Science, Penn State University.
- 16. 1997 Fruit Spraying Calendar for Commercial Fruit Growers. 1997. Bulletin E-154. Michigan State University Extension.
- 17. Pest Management Recommendations for Commercial Tree Fruit Production. 1997. Cornell University.
- 18. 1996-1997 New England Apple Pest Management Guide. Cooperative Extension (Universities. of Connecticut, New Hampshire, Maine, Rhode Island, Massachusetts and Vermont)
- 19. Apple Pest Management Guidelines. 1996. UCPMG Publication 12. IPM Education and Publications, Univ.- CA, Davis.
- 20. Integrated Pest Management for Apples and Pears. 1991. Publication 3340. University of California.
- 21. Label Use Information System (LUIS) Version 5.0, EPA.
- 22. The All-Crop, Quick Reference Insect Control Guide (1997), Meister Publishing Company
- 23. EPA Crop Profile QUA.

Date: 01/28/99

Region: Appalachian-Southern

Pest ^{2-4, 7}	Organophosphate ^{1-5, 7}	Efficacy ^{2-4, 7}	Mkt ¹	Class	Alt. Pesticide List ^{1-5, 7}	Efficacy ^{2-4,7}	Mkt ¹	Constraints of Alternatives ^{2,7}	
Timing: Pre-Bloom									
Aphid	azinphos-methyl	•	Lo	С	carbaryl	•	Lo	Pyrethroid and Carbamate use in late	
(Rosy Apple, Apple, Spirea,	chlorpyrifos	● - ◎	Med	С	methomyl	•-0	Lo	prebloom can create mite problems due to its high toxicity to predators. Use	
and Apple Grain)	diazinon	● - ◎	Lo	С	oxamyl	• - 🔾	Lo	could require mite control with potential to develop resistant populations. Also	
(Major)	dimethoate	0	Med	P	esfenvalerate	● - ◎	High	may contribute to increased scale and wooly apple aphid problems.	
(Wajor)	malathion	•	Lo	P	permethrin	● - ◎	Lo		
	phosmet	•	Lo	СН	endosulfan	● - ◎	Lo	Endosulfan is more expensive.	
				0	oil	• - 🔾	Lo	Efficacy of alternatives varies by species	
Mites	chlorpyrifos	•	Med	С	oxamyl	О	Med	Oil only effective against European red	
(European Red, Twospotted	diazinon	•	Lo	P	permethrin	•	Lo	mite	
Spider, and Apple Rust)				P	pyrethrin	•	Lo	Efficacy of alternatives varies according to targeted pest species and area.	
(Moderate)				СН	dicofol	● - ◎	Lo		
(Woderate)				О	abamectin	☺	Lo		
				О	chinomethionate	• - 🔾	Lo		
				О	clofentezine	⊚	Lo		
				О	fenbutatin oxide	О	Lo		
				О	formetantate hydrochloride	• - 🔾	Med		
				0	hexythiazox	©	High		
				0	petroleum oil	⊚	High		
				0	soap	• - 🔾	Lo		

Pest Importance: Major = 20+% of all OP usage on pest; Moderate = 5-20% of all OP usage on pest; Minor = <5% of all OP usage on pest Efficacy Rating: Excellent = ⊚ Good = O Fair = ● --- = Not rated for efficacy in state recs.

Region: Appalachian-Southern

Pest ^{2-4, 7}	Organophosphate ^{1-5, 7}	Efficacy ^{2-4,7}	Mkt ¹	Class	Alt. Pesticide List ^{1-5,7}	Efficacy ^{2-4,7}	Mkt ¹	Constraints of Alternatives ^{2,7}
Timing: Pre-Bloom								
Scale (San Jose)	azinphos-methyl	•	Lo	С	carbaryl	•	Lo	Pyrethroid use in late prebloom period can create mite problems due to its high
	chlorpyrifos	• - ©	High	С	methomyl	•-0	Lo	toxicity to predators. Use of pyrethroids
(Minor)	dimethoate	0	Lo	P	esfenvalerate	•	Lo	during prebloom would necessitate mite control and could lead to development of
	diazinon	О	Lo	P	permethrin	•	Lo	resistant populations. Pyrethroids may also contribute to increased scale and
	malathion	•	Lo	СН	endosulfan	•	Lo	wooly apple aphid problems.
	methidathion	©	High	О	abamectin	0	Lo	Carbamtes are more toxic to mite predators (see pyrethroid).
	methyl parathion	©	Lo	О	insecticidal soap			Oil is incompatible with some
	phosmet	•	Lo	О	oil	©	High	fungicides. Total reliance on oil will probably not be sufficient.
Leafroller (Red banded and Oblique	azinphos-methyl	0	High	С	carbaryl	•	Lo	Esfenvalerate use in late prebloom period can create mite problems due to its high toxicity to predators. Use of
banded) (Minor)	chlorpyrifos	• - ©	Med	С	methomyl	O - ©	Med	esfenvalerate would necessitate mite control and could lead to development of resistant populations. Pyrethroids may
	diazinon	•- •	Lo	Р	esfenvalerate	©	Lo	also contribute to increased scale and wooly apple aphid problems.
	methyl parathion	O - ©	Med	Р	permethrin	©	Lo	Carbamates are more toxic to predators of mites and have very short residual.
	phosmet	0	Lo	В	Bacillus thuringiensis	O - ©	Lo	Mating disruption is not as effective and more expensive.

Pest Importance: Major = 20+% of all OP usage on pest; Moderate = 5-20% of all OP usage on pest; Minor = <5% of all OP usage on pest Efficacy Rating: Excellent = ⊚ Good = O Fair = ● --- = Not rated for efficacy in state recs.

Region: Appalachian-Southern

Pest ^{2-4, 7}	Organophosphate ^{1-5, 7}	Efficacy ^{2-4,7}	Mkt ¹	Class	Alt. Pesticide List ^{1-5, 7}	Efficacy ^{2-4,7}	Mkt ¹	Constraints of Alternatives ^{2, 7}
Timing: Pre-Bloom								
Leafminer	azinphos-methyl	•	Lo	С	carbaryl	•	Lo	Pyrethroids and Carbamates are more
(Spotted tentiform)	diazinon	•	Lo	С	methomyl	©	Lo	toxic to predators and could disrupt mite IPM programs.
(Minor)	dimethoate	• - 🔾	Lo	С	oxamyl	©	Med	
	methyl parathion	•	Lo	P	esfenvalerate	©	High	
	phosmet	•	Lo	P	permethrin	©	Lo	
				P	pyrethin	0	Lo	
				СН	endosulfan	0	Lo	
				О	abamectin	©	Lo	
				0	formetanate hydrochloride	О	Lo	
Bug	azinphos-methyl	•	Lo	С	methomyl	0	Lo	Pyrethroids, though more efficacious
(Tarnished Plant and	chlorpyrifos	0	Lo	С	oxamyl	О	Lo	against plant bugs than OP's, are disruptive to IPM and prone to resistance
Mullein Plant)	diazinon	0	Lo	p	esfenvalerate	©	High	development. Use of pyrethroids could lead to explosion of mite, scale and
(Minor)	dimethoate	0	Med	p	permethrin	©	Lo	wooly aphid populations.
	methyl parathion	0	Lo	СН	endosulfan	©	Med	Endosulfan is more toxic to mite predators and is more expensive.
	phosmet	•	Lo	О	formetanate hydrochloride.	0	Lo	

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

Apple farming in the Appalachian-Southern Region (Delaware, Georgia, Maryland, North Carolina, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia, and West Virginia) accounts for 14.1% of national apple acreage and 10.2% of total production⁸. OP's represent 35.2% of all pesticide usage in apple production during the Pre-Bloom period in the Appalachian-Southern region¹.

Pest Importance: Major = 20+% of all OP usage on pest; Moderate = 5-20% of all OP usage on pest; Minor = <5% of all OP usage on pest

Efficacy Rating: Excellent = ⊚ Good = O Fair = ● --- = Not rated for efficacy in state recs.

Market Share: High = 20+% OP usage on pest; Med = 5-20% of all usage on pest; Lo = <5% of all usage on pest; --- = not available for 1994-96.

Insecticides: C = Carbamates; P = Pyrethroids; CH = Chlorinated Hydrocarbons; IGR = Insect Growth Regulators; B = Biological; O = Other pesticide

Region: Appalachian-Southern

Usage level of OP's reflect the unavailability of effective alternative control measures (chemical, cultural, biological, etc.) Usage of OP's are largely driven by their lower disruption of other IPM programs (ie. biological control of mites) and cost.

SOURCES:

- 1. Proprietary EPA market share information.
- 2. U.S. Apple QUA+ Georgia, Maryland, North Carolina, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia, West Virginia. 1997.
- 3. 1997 Spray Bulletin for Commercial Tree Fruit Growers. Virginia, West Virginia and Maryland Cooperative Extension.
- 4. Pennsylvania Tree Fruit Production Guide. 1996-1997. College of Agricultural Science, Penn State University.
- 5. The All-Crop, Quick Reference Insect Control Guide (1997), Meister Publishing Company.
- 6. Label Use Information System (LUIS) Version 5.0, EPA.
- 7. Consultation with Appalachian-Southern Extension and Research personnel and Apple producers.
- 8. Noncitrus Fruits and Nuts 1996 Summary. 1997. Agricultural Statistics Board, NASS, USDA.

Date: 01/28/99

Region: Appalachian-Southern

Pest ^{2-4, 7}	Organophosphate ^{1-5, 7}	Efficacy ^{2-4, 7}	Mkt ¹	Class	Alt. Pesticide List ^{1-5,7}	Efficacy ^{2-4, 7}	Mkt ¹	Constraints of Alternatives ^{2,7}
Timing: Post-B	loom							
Codling Moth (Major)	azinphos-methyl	©	High	С	carbaryl	O	Lo	Carbamates generally have a shorter period of residual activity
	chlorpyrifos	⊙ - ©	Mod	С	methomyl	О	Lo	than OP's.
	diazinon	0	Lo	Р	esfenvalerate	©	Lo	Pyrethroids are not recommended post-bloom to avoid disrupting the biological control of Mites.
	dimethoate	•	Lo	P	permethrin	©	Lo	Pyrethroids and carbamates would
	malathion	©	Lo	СН	endosulfan	•	Lo	be more toxic to mite predators. Use of these products would necessitate
	methyl parathion	©	Mod	В	Bacillus thuringiensis	•	Lo	mite control with increased miticide use and resistance development.
	phosmet	©	Mod	О	imidacloprid			Mating disuruption with pheromone is not cost effective given the pest
				0	pheromone	O	Lo	complex and only works for low to moderate population densities.
Leafroller (Red banded	azinphos-methyl	O - ©	High	С	carbaryl	•	Lo	Carbamates and pyrethroids.have potential resistance problems and
and Oblique banded)	chlorpyrifos	• - ©	Lo	С	methomyl	O - ©	High	disrupt IPM Use would necessitate mite control with increased miticide
(Moderate)	diazinon	•-0	Lo	Р	esfenvalerate	☺	Lo	use and mite resistance. Methomyl has very short residual.
(methyl parathion	O - ©	High	Р	permethrin	⊜	Lo	
	phosmet	O	Lo	В	Bacillus thuringiensis	O - ©	Lo	
				О	phemone	● - ☺		

Pest Importance: Major = 20+% of all OP usage on pest; Moderate = 5-20% of all OP usage on pest; Minor = <5% of all OP usage on pest Efficacy Rating: Excellent = ⊚ Good = O Fair = ● --- = Not rated for efficacy in state recs.

Region: Appalachian-Southern

Pest ^{2-4, 7}	Organophosphate ^{1-5, 7}	Efficacy ^{2-4, 7}	Mkt ¹	Class	Alt. Pesticide List ^{1-5, 7}	Efficacy ^{2-4, 7}	Mkt ¹	Constraints of Alternatives ^{2,7}		
Timing: Post-B	loom									
Aphid (Rosy Apple,	azinphos-methyl	•	Lo	С	carbaryl	•	Lo	Pyrethroids and Carbamates are more toxic to predators and could		
Apple, Spirea, and Apple	chlorpyrifos	● - ◎	Lo	С	methomyl	•-0	Med	disrupt mite IPM programs. Aphid predators are inconsistent and		
Grain) (Moderate)	diazinon	● - ◎	Lo	С	oxamyl	•-0	Lo	especially so where carbamates and pyrethroids are used in cover sprays. With potential increased use of		
,	dimethoate	0	Med	Р	esfenvalerate	○ - ⊚	Lo	Pyrethroids, Wooly Apple Aphid control will become more important.		
	malathion	•	Lo	Р	permethrin	○ - ⊚	Lo	Endosulfan is less efficacious, mor expensive and more toxic to		
	phosmet	•	Lo	СН	endosulfan	○ - ◎	Lo	predatory mites.		
				О	imidacloprid	©	High	Imidacloprid is disruptive to mite IPM as a result of greater toxicity to predatory mites		
				О	petroleum oil	•-0	Lo	predutory fines		
Bug	azinphos-methyl	0	Med	С	methomyl	О	Lo	Pyrethroids, though more		
(Tarnished Plant and	chlorpyrifos	О	Lo	С	oxamyl	О	Lo	efficacious against plant bugs than OP's, are disruptive to IPM and		
Mullein Plant) (Moderate)	diazinon	О	Lo	p	esfenvalerate	⊚	Lo	prone to resistance development. Use of pyrethroids could lead to explosion of mite, scale and wooly aphid populations. Endosulfan is more toxic to mite predators and is more expensive.		
	dimethoate	О	Med	р	permethrin	©	Lo			
	methyl parathion	О	Lo	СН	endosulfan	©	Lo			
	phosmet	•	Med	О	formetanate hydrochloride	0	Lo			

Pest Importance: Major = 20+% of all OP usage on pest; Moderate = 5-20% of all OP usage on pest; Minor = <5% of all OP usage on pest Efficacy Rating: Excellent = ⊚ Good = O Fair = ● --- = Not rated for efficacy in state recs.

Region: Appalachian-Southern

Pest ^{2-4, 7}	Organophosphate ^{1-5,7}	Efficacy ^{2-4, 7}	Mkt ¹	Class	Alt. Pesticide List ^{1-5, 7}	Efficacy ^{2-4, 7}	Mkt ¹	Constraints of Alternatives ^{2,7}	
Timing: Post-B	loom								
Leafhopper	azinphos-methyl	•	Lo	С	carbaryl	O - ©	Lo	Pyrethroids, Carbamtes and	
(White Apple, Rose and	diazinon	•-0	Lo	С	methomyl	O - ©	High	Imidicloprid are more toxice to predators of mites. Use of these	
Potato) (Moderate)	dimethoate	O - ©	High	С	oxamyl	•-0	Med	pesticides would necessitate mite control with increased miticide use	
				P	esfenvalerate	⊙ - ⊚	Lo	and mite resistance.	
				Р	permethrin	O - ©	Lo		
				СН	endosulfan	O - ©	Lo		
				О	abamectin	● - ○	Lo		
				О	formetanate hydrochloride	⊚	Lo		
				О	imidacloprid	⊚	Med		
				О	soap	0	Lo		
Fruit/Bud Moth (Tufted apple	azinphos-methyl	• - 🔾	Med	C	carbaryl	● - ○	Lo	Carbamates generally have shorter periods of residual efficacy than	
and Oriental)	chlorpyrifos	O - ©	Lo	С	methomyl	○ - ◎	Med	OP's. In addition, carbamates have	
(Minor)	diazinon	O	Lo	С	oxamyl	•	Lo	potential resistance problems and disruption of IPM programs.	
	dimethoate	•	Lo	Р	esfenvalerate	©	Lo	Pyrethroid use during post-bloom is	
	methyl partathion	O - ©	High	Р	permethrin	©	Lo	discouraged to avoid disrupting biological control of mites.	
	phosmet	•-0	Med	СН	endosulfan	•	Lo	Phermone disruption of mating is	
				В	Bacillus thuringiensis	● - ☺	Lo	species specific and generally has low efficacy. Mating disruption is	
				О	pheromones			only effective where population densities are low to moderate.	

Pest Importance: Major = 20+% of all OP usage on pest; Moderate = 5-20% of all OP usage on pest; Minor = <5% of all OP usage on pest Efficacy Rating: Excellent = ⊚ Good = O Fair = ● --- = Not rated for efficacy in state recs.

Region: Appalachian-Southern

Pest ^{2-4, 7}	Organophosphate ^{1-5,7}	Efficacy ^{2-4, 7}	Mkt ¹	Class	Alt. Pesticide List ^{1-5, 7}	Efficacy ^{2-4, 7}	Mkt ¹	Constraints of Alternatives ^{2,7}
Timing: Post-Bloom								
Scale (San Jose)	azinphos-methyl	•	Lo	С	carbaryl	•	Lo	Imidacloprid, insecticidal soap and oil are the only alternatives which can provide satisfactory control. Insecticidal soap should only be used on non-bearing trees. Imidacloprid and insecticidal soap cause mortality in predatory mites and may disrupt crop IPM. Imidacloprid is more expensive than current OP's.
(Minor)	chlorpyrifos	O - ©	High	С	methomyl	•-0	Lo	
	dimethoate	0	Lo	P	esfenvalerate	•	Lo	
	diazinon	0	Lo	Р	permethrin	•	Lo	
	malathion	•	Lo	СН	endosulfan	•	Lo	
	methyl parathion	O - ©	High	0	abamectin	О	Lo	Oil is incompatible with some fungicides and is more phytotoxic under cold temperatures. Total reliance on oil will probably not be sufficient in the lon run with eventual increase in scales.
	phosmet	•	Lo	0	imidacloprid			
				О	insecticidal soap			
Plum Curculio	azinphos-methyl	O - ©	High	С	carbaryl	0	Lo	Plum Curculio is very difficult to manage without OP's. Carbamates and Pyrethroids generally have lower efficacy against Plum Curculio and are more toxic to predators, necessitating mite control which could lead to resistance.
(Minor)	chlorpyrifos	0	Lo	С	methomyl	•-0	Lo	
	dimethoate	•	Lo	P	esfenvalerate	○ - ◎	Lo	
	diazinon	0	Lo	P	permethrin	○ - ◎	Lo	
	malathion	•	Lo	СН	endosulfan	•	Lo	
	methyl parathion	0	High	0	imidacloprid		Lo	
	phosmet	O - ©	High					

Pest Importance: Major = 20+% of all OP usage on pest; Moderate = 5-20% of all OP usage on pest; Minor = <5% of all OP usage on pest Efficacy Rating: Excellent = ⊚ Good = O Fair = ● --- = Not rated for efficacy in state recs.

Region: Appalachian-Southern

Pest ^{2-4, 7}	Organophosphate ^{1-5, 7}	Efficacy ^{2-4, 7}	Mkt ¹	Class	Alt. Pesticide List ^{1-5,7}	Efficacy ^{2-4, 7}	Mkt ¹	Constraints of Alternatives ^{2,7}
Timing: Post-Bloom								
Leafminer (Spotted tentiform) (Minor)	azinphos-methyl	•	Lo	С	carbaryl	•	Lo	Pyrethroids and Carbamates are more toxic to predators and could disrupt mite IPM programs.
	diazinon	•	Lo	С	methomyl	☺	High	
	dimethoate	•-0	Med	С	oxamyl	☺	Med	
	methyl parathion	•	Lo	Р	esfenvalerate	⊚	Lo	
	phosmet	•	Lo	Р	permethrin	⊚	Lo	
				Р	pyrethrin	0	Lo	
				СН	endosulfan	О	Lo	
				О	abamectin	⊚	Lo	
				О	formentate hydrochloride	0	Lo	
				О	imidacloprid	☺	Med	
Fruitworm (Green) (Minor)	azinphos-methyl	•-0	High	С	carbaryl	•	Lo	Pyrethroids and Carbamates are more toxic to predators and could disrupt mite IPM programs.
	chlorpyrifos	О	Med	С	methomyl	•-0	Lo	
	diazinon	0	Lo	Р	esfenvalerate	○ - ⊚	Lo	
	methyl parathion	• - 🔾	Med	P	permethrin	○ - ◎	Lo	
	phosmet	•	Med	СН	endosulfan	•	Lo	
				В	Bacillus thuringiensis	•	Lo	

Pest Importance: Major = 20+% of all OP usage on pest; Moderate = 5-20% of all OP usage on pest; Minor = <5% of all OP usage on pest

Efficacy Rating: Excellent = \bigcirc Good = \bigcirc Fair = \bigcirc --- = Not rated for efficacy in state recs.

Region: Appalachian-Southern

Pest ^{2-4, 7}	Organophosphate ^{1-5, 7}	Efficacy ^{2-4, 7}	Mkt ¹	Class	Alt. Pesticide List ^{1-5, 7}	Efficacy ^{2-4, 7}	Mkt ¹	Constraints of Alternatives ^{2,7}
Timing: Post-B	loom							
Apple Maggot	azinphos-methyl	©	High	С	carbaryl	0	Lo	Apple Maggot is a difficult pest to manage without OP's. Pyrethroids and Carbamates are generally less efficacious and more likely to disrupt biological control of mites which could lead to increased miticide usage and resistance. Border sprays with Pyrethroids or Carbamates may not provide complete control.
(Minor)	chlorpyrifos	0	Lo	С	methomyl	•	Lo	
	diazinon	0	Lo	Р	esfenvalerate	0	Lo	
	dimethoate	•-0	Lo	СН	endosulfan	•	Lo	
	methyl parathion	0	Lo	О	trapping			
	phosmet	©	High					
Mite	chlorpyrifos	•	Lo	С	oxamyl	О	High	Pyrethroids and Carbamates are more toxic to predators and could disrupt mite IPM programs.
(European Red, Twospotted	diazinon	•	Lo	P	permethrin	•	Lo	
Spider, and Apple Rust)				P	pyrethrin	● - ○	Lo	
(Minor)				СН	dicofol	● - ◎	Med	
(IVIIIIOI)				О	abamectin	©	Lo	
				О	chinomethionate	•-0	Lo	
				О	fenbutatin oxide	О	Lo	
				О	formentate hydrochloride	•-0	Med	
				О	petroleum oil	©	Med	
				О	soap	● - ○	Lo]

Pest Importance: Major = 20+% of all OP usage on pest; Moderate = 5-20% of all OP usage on pest; Minor = <5% of all OP usage on pest Efficacy Rating: Excellent = ⊚ Good = O Fair = ● --- = Not rated for efficacy in state recs.

Region: Appalachian-Southern

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

Apple farming in the Appalachian-Southern Region (Delaware, Georgia, Maryland, North Carolina, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia, and West Virginia) accounts for 14.1% of national apple acreage and 10.2% of total production⁸. OP's represent 63.8% of all pesticide usage in apple production during the Post-Bloom period in the Appalachian-Southern region¹.

Usage level of OP's reflect the unavailability of effective alternative control measures (chemical, cultural, biological, etc.) Usage of OP's are largely driven by their lower disruption of IPM programs (ie. biological control of mites) and cost.

Pyridaben may be an efficacious alternative to control mites but is not currently registered for use on apples.

SOURCES:

- 1. Proprietary EPA market share information.
- 2. U.S. Apple QUA+ Georgia, Maryland, North Carolina, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia, West Virginia. 1997.
- 3. 1997 Spray Bulletin for Commercial Tree Fruit Growers. Virginia, West Virginia and Maryland Cooperative Extension.
- 4. Pennsylvania Tree Fruit Production Guide. 1996-1997. College of Agricultural Science, Penn State University.
- 5. The All-Crop, Quick Reference Insect Control Guide (1997), Meister Publishing Company.
- 6. Label Use Information System (LUIS) Version 5.0, EPA.
- 7. Consultation with Appalachian-Southern Extension and Research personnel and Apple producers.
- 8. Noncitrus Fruits and Nuts 1996 Summary. 1997. Agricultural Statistics Board, NASS, USDA.

Date: 01/28/99